

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES & RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY

Linear Project Second Order-Cone Programme

Zhenhua Li^{*1}, Wenling Zhao²

*1,2 School of Science, Shandong University of Technology, Zibo, 255091, China

lzh73407968@163.com

Abstract

In recent years cone constraint optimization problems has been favored by scholars, especially for the second-order cone constraints programming problem, they have carried on the detailed study, had got the corresponding theoretical achievements. In this paper, on the basis of the existing cone constraint optimization problem, we puts forward the definition of projection second-order cone, we related properties of this cone and the corresponding convexity function, the linear projected second-order cone constraint programme problem, the dual problem, the optimality conditions ,we can converted it into corresponding second-order cone programme problems.

Keywords: linear projected second-order cone; dual cone constraints; Second-order cone programme problem; dual problem ; convexity function

Introduction

In recent years, with the development of science and technology, the application of computer. Nonlinear optimization obtained rapid development and wide application [7,10,12,13,18,23]. Especially the semidefinite programming and the second-order cone programming problem, f. Alizadeth and d. Goldfarb [1] introduced the second-order cone programming problem in detail, research the linear and nonlinear second-order cone constraints programming problem and quadratic programming problem. They have introduce the relationship between the other convex programming and second-order cone constraints problems, using Jordan operator interior-point method analyzed the application of the second-order cone programming problem, and the programming.Scholars semidefinite use different methods to study the the different cone constraints problem[3,5,12,14]. In general, we often use different method resolve cone constraints programming problem.for example penalty function, primal-dual interior point, smooth function approximation method, semismooth Newton's method and quasi-newton method,power iteration method and projection algorithm method and so on[6,8,12,16,17 [4]. The complementarity system occupies an important status in the constrained optimization problems, So research the property of cone is very important in the complementarity system[5,14,22 [3].In this paper, on the basis of the existing cone constraint optimization problem we put forward the definition of projection second-order cone, search the properties of the cone and its dual cone.

In order to give definitions of projected secondorder cone, we first solve below function: Assumption

$$P = (p, p \cdots p) \text{ and } P \in \mathbb{R}^m, p \ge 0, \text{ For the function}$$

minimum $f(P) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 - p)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x_2 - p)^2 + \dots + \frac{1}{2}(x_m - p)^2$
with $x \in \mathbb{R}^m, \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \ge 0$. We have $p = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m x_i}{m}$ is the solution of the above function

ISSN: 2277-9655 Impact Factor: 1.852

Define 1.1 The $K = \left\{ x \left| \frac{(x_1 - p)^2 + (x_2 - p)^2 + \dots + (x_m - p)^2}{\|x\|^2} \le \frac{1}{2} \right\}$ is the project second-order cone. If x = 0, we assumption $K = \{0\}$

assumption $K = \{0\}$.

We be represent project second-order cone as
$$K = \left\{ x \left| m \| x \|^2 \le 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_i \right)^2 \right\}$$
 $\left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_i \ge 0, \quad p = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \quad (\| \cdot \| \text{ denote euclidean norm }) \right)$.

Theoren 1.1: K is self dual, And $K = K^+$, so we have $K^+ = \left\{ x \left\| m \| x \|^2 \le 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_i \right)^2 \right\}$. **Proof:** For $\forall x \in K$, $\forall y \in K$, if x = 0 or y = 0, It is obvious $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$.

If $x \neq 0$, $y \neq 0$, By the define 1.1 we have

$$\begin{split} \underbrace{\left(\left(x_{1}-\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}x_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2}-\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}x_{i}\right)^{2}+\dots+\left(x_{m}-\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}x_{i}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}_{\left\|x\right\|} \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \underbrace{\left(\left(y_{1}-\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}y_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{2}-\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}y_{i}\right)^{2}+\dots+\left(y_{m}-\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}y_{i}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}_{\left\|y\right\|} \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \underbrace{\left(x_{1}-\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}x_{i},x_{2}-\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}x_{i},\dots,x_{m}-\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}x_{i}\right),\left(y_{1}-\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}y_{i},y_{1}-\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}y_{i},\dots,y_{1}-\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}y_{i}\right)\right)}_{By \quad \text{the}} \\ \geq -\frac{1}{2}\left\|x\right\| \cdot \|y\| \end{split}$$

left-hand side above we have

so

$$\begin{split} \langle x, y \rangle - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i \geq -\frac{1}{2} \|x\| \cdot \|y\| \\ \langle x, y \rangle \geq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i - \frac{1}{2} \|x\| \cdot \|y\| \\ \text{As } 0 < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|x\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \right), 0 < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|y\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i \right). \\ \text{So} \langle x, y \rangle \geq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i - \frac{1}{2} \|x\| \cdot \|y\| \geq 0. \end{split}$$

Above all, we obtain $K = K^+$.

Define 1.2 K^- is the polar cone of K if $K^- = \{y | \langle x, y \rangle \le 0, \forall x \in K\}.$

ISSN: 2277-9655 Impact Factor: 1.852

Theoren 1.2: For $\forall x \in K, \forall y \in K, x \neq 0, y \neq 0$, if $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$, we obtain $x, y \in K_B$. (K_B denote the cone shell). And if $x \in K_B$, we have $n \|x\|^2 = 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_i\right)^2$.

Proof: Assumption $x \notin K_B$. As $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$, $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ is continuity function, in the domain of $x + \mathcal{E}B \subset K(\mathcal{E} > 0)$, there exist $z \in \{x + \mathcal{E}B\}(\mathcal{E} > 0)$ satisfi $\langle z, y \rangle < 0$ contradictory the assumption.

We can be proven $y \in K_B$.

Define 1.3 For $x \in K$, $y \in K^+$ if $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$, we have

$$y = t(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}x_i - \frac{x_1}{2}, \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}x_i - \frac{x_2}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_i - \frac{x_m}{2}) \quad (t > 0, \text{if } x \in \text{int } K \text{ we assuption } t = 0)$$

Proof: If $x \in \text{int } K$, and t = 0, It is obvious.

If $x \in K_B$, From the Theorem 1.2 we know $y \in K_B$. We only need to prove $m \|y\|^2 = 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^m y_i\right)^2$, from the define 1.1

we have

$$m\left(\left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}x_{i}-\frac{x_{1}}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}x_{i}-\frac{x_{2}}{2}\right)^{2}+\dots+\left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}x_{i}-\frac{x_{m}}{2}\right)^{2}\right)=2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}x_{i}-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{m}x_{i}\right)^{2}$$

To selve this equation we have $m\|x\|^{2}=2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}x_{i}\right)^{2}$.

Proposition 1.1 *K* is pointed and convex cone.

Proof: Because $K \cap K^- = 0$, so K is pointed. Now we proof it convex, for $\forall x, y \in K$, $t \in [0,1]$. we only proof

$$m\left[tx_{1} + (1-t)y_{1}\right]^{2} + \left[tx_{2} + (1-t)y_{2}\right]^{2} + \dots + \left[tx_{m} + (1-t)y_{m}\right]^{2}\right] \le 2\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} tx_{i} + (1-t)y_{i}\right]^{2}$$

This nonequality equal

$$m\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} y_{i} \leq 2\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i}$$
$$m\|x\|^{2} \leq 2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}\right)^{2} \text{ and } m\|y\|^{2} \leq 2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i}\right)^{2}$$

from the define 1.1 we have $m \|x\|^2 \le 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_i\right)$ and $m \|y\|^2 \le 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^m y_i\right)$

so
$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i}{\|x\| \cdot \|y\|} \le 1, K \text{ is convex cone.}$$

It very important to research the tangent cone, normal cone and the definition of the second order tangent set for the project second-order cone.J. Frederic and H. Ramirecz C [24] had dicuss first and second order optimality condiition for nonlinear second-order programming. Before we discuss these cones, we first presents the function of the project second-order cone

If K is a project second-order cone we have:

· i

$$K = \left\{ x \in R^{m} \middle| \phi(x) = \sqrt{m} ||x|| - \sqrt{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} \le 0 \right\}.$$

Proposition 1.2 The function $\phi(x) = \sqrt{m}\phi(x) = \sqrt{m}\|x\| - \sqrt{2}\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \le 0$ convex and derivable. **Proof:** We need to proof $\phi(z) \ge \phi(x) + \phi(x)'(z-x)$

$$\operatorname{as}\sqrt{m}\|z\| - \sqrt{2}\sum_{i=1}^{m} z_{i} \geq \sqrt{m}\|x\| - \sqrt{2}\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} + ((z_{1} - x_{1}), (z_{2} - x_{2}), \cdots, (z_{m} - x_{m})) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{m}x_{1} - \sqrt{2}\|x\|}{\|x\|} \\ \frac{\sqrt{m}x_{2} - \sqrt{2}\|x\|}{\|x\|} \\ \frac{\vdots}{\sqrt{m}x_{m} - \sqrt{2}\|x\|} \\ \frac{\vdots}{\|x\|} \\ \frac{1}{\|x\|} \end{pmatrix}$$

By the right-hand side above we have

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{m} \|x\| - \sqrt{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i + \frac{\sqrt{m}}{\|x\|} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i z_i + \sqrt{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i - \sqrt{m} \|x\| - \sqrt{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} z_i z_i \\ \text{So } \|z\| \ge \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i z_i}{\|x\|} \text{ . As we know } \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i z_i}{\|x\|\|z\|} \le 1 \text{ . The proof is completed.} \end{split}$$

Now we consider project second-order cone programming problem

A is a row full rank matric , K is project second-order cone . The dual of (P)

(D) max
$$b^{\mathrm{T}}\pi$$

 $sb \quad A^{\mathrm{T}}\pi + y = c$
 $y \in K$

We know the dual problem is very important in cone constraints programming, for the second-order cone, ice cream cone, cone, geometry cone constraints constraint programming [15,16,17,22,22] have discussed.

Assumptions p^* and d^* is the original problem and solution of dual problem respectively, x^* and (π^*, y^*) the corresponding solution sets. We have

$$p^* - d^* = c^{\mathrm{T}}x^* - b^{\mathrm{T}}\pi^* = x^{*\mathrm{T}}y^* \ge 0$$

It is clear that the solution of original problem is the supremum of the dual problem solution, if $p^* - d^* > 0$ then the original problem and the have the duality gap.

If $x^* \in \text{int } K$ (or $(\pi^*, y^*) y^* \in \text{int } K$), then x^* (or $(\pi^*, y^*) y^* \in \text{int } K$) is strictly feasible solution of original problem (strictly feasible solution of dual problem).

ISSN: 2277-9655 Impact Factor: 1.852

If $p^* = -\infty$ (or $d^* = +\infty$), then we call the original problem (or the dual problem) is unbounded. If $p^* = +\infty$ (or $d^* = -\infty$), then the original problem (or the dual problem) is no feasible solution. When the original problem and the dual problem has strictly feasible solution, then the duality gap is 0.

For linear projection of second-order cone constraints problem can be converted into into a linear second-order cone constraints.

Let $x_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_m)$, we have $\min_{\substack{c \\ sb}} \frac{\overline{c} x_1}{\overline{Ax}} = \overline{b}$

$$\overline{x} \in \overline{K}$$

And
$$\overline{c} = (0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m), \overline{x} = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m), \overline{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{2n}}{2} & -1 & \dots & -1 \\ 0 & a_{11} & \dots & a_{1m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & a_{m1} & \dots & a_{mm} \end{bmatrix}.$$

 $b = (0, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) \cdot K$ is second-order cone.

References

- F. Alizadeh, D. Goldfarb. Second-Order Cone Programming. Math. Program., Ser. B 95: 3–51 (2003).
- [2] Yun Wang, Liwei Zhang.Properties of equation reformulation of the Karush–Kuhn–Tucker condition for nonlinear second order cone optimization problems.Mathematical Methods of Operations Research. October 2009, Volume 70, Issue 2, pp 195-218.
- [3] A. Seegera, M. Torkib. On eigenvalues induced by a cone constraint. Linear Algebra and its Applications 372 (2003) 181–206°
- [4] A. Tits, A. Wächter, S. Bakhtiari. A Primal-Dual Interior-Point Method for Nonlinear Programming with Strong Global and Local Convergence Properties.ISR Technical Report TR 2002-29. July 19, 2002(1-39)
- [5] K. Takasu, Smoothing Method for Nonlinear Second-Order Cone Programs with Complementarity Constraints and Its Application to the Smart House Scheduling Problem.Kyoto University. February 2012.
- [6] Ellen. H. F, Paulo J. S, S. Masao. Differentiable Exact Penalty Functions for Nonlinear Second-Order Cone Programs. SIAM Journal on Optimization 22(4), 1607–1633, 2012.

- [8] Mohammed M, Alshahrani. S. Al-Homidan Mixed semidefinite and second-order cone optimization approach for the Hankel matrix approximation problem.
- [9] H. Yamashita, H. Yabe, K. Harada. A primaldual interior point method for nonlinear semidefinite programming. Math. Program., Ser. A (2012) 135:89–121.
- [10] X.X. HUANG, X.Q. YANG, K.L. TEO Convergence Analysis of a Class of Penalty Methods for Vector Optimization Problems with Cone Constraints. Journal of Global Optimization (2006) 36:637–652.
- [11] A. Iusem, A. Seeger.On pairs of vectors achieving the maximal angle of a convex cone. Math. Program., Ser. B 104, 501–523 (2005).
- [12] Jein-Shan Chen. Two classes of merit functions for the second-order cone complementarity problem. Math. Meth. Oper. Res. (2006) 64: 495–519.
- [13] S. BAKHTIARI, A. TITSA. Simple Primal-Dual Feasible Interior-Point Method for Nonlinear Programming with Monotone Descent.Computational Optimization and Applications, 25, 17–38, 2003.

[7]

- [14] L. Tunçel, H. Wolkowicz.Strong duality and minimal representations for cone optimization. Comput Optim Appl (2012) 53:619–648.
- [15]F. Glineur, T. Terlaky. Conic Formulation for l_p -Norm Optimization.journal of optimization theory and applications: Vol. 122, No. 2, pp.

285–307, August 2004 (© 2004)
[16] P. E. Gill, D. P. Robinson.A PRIMAL-DUAL AUGMENTED LAGRANGIAN.Oxford University Computing Laboratory Numerical Analysis Group Technical, Report 08-05 May 2008.

- [17] Jein-Shan Chen, Paul Tseng. An unconstrained smooth minimization reformulation of the second-order cone complementarity problem. Math. Program., Ser. B 104, 293–327 (2005).
- [18] H. Y. Benson. Interior-point methods for nonlinar second-order cone and semidefinite programming. Department of operation research financial engineering. June 2001
- [19] L. Tuncel, H. Wolkowicz. Strong Duality and Minimal Representations for Cone Optimization. Department of Combinatorics & Optimization, Research Report CORR 2008-07.
- [20] V. Jeyakumar, G. M. Lee. Complete characterizations of stable Farkas' lemma and cone-convex programming duality.Math. Program., Ser. A (2008) 114:335–347.
- [21] S. Lucidi, L.Palagi, M. Roma. On some of quadratic programs with a convex quadratic constraint. Tech. Rep. 33-96.
- [22] A. R. Conn, N. I. Gould, P. L. Toint, Methods for Nonlinear constraint in optimization calculation. Department for computation and information, June 25, 1996.
- [23] F. Glineur. Proving strong duality for geometric optimization using a cone formulation. Annals of operation Research 105, 155-184, 2001.
- [24] S. Miguel, V. Lieven, B.Stephen. Application of second-order cone programme.Linear algebra and its application.284,193-228,1998.